Public Document Pack

Committee Room 1, Civic Offices, Merrial Street,

Newcastle-under-Lyme, Staffordshire, ST5 2AG

Date of meeting

Time 6.00 pm

Venue

Contact



Civic Offices Merrial Street Newcastle-under-Lyme Staffordshire ST5 2AG

PLEASE NOTE EARLIER START TIME

Thursday, 19th October, 2017

Jayne Briscoe 2250

Health and Wellbeing Scrutiny Committee

AGENDA

PART 1- OPEN AGENDA

1 APOLOGIES

| 2 | MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING | (Pages 3 - 6) |
|---|--|-----------------|
| | To consider the minutes of the meeting held on 5 July 2017 | |
| 3 | DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST | |
| | To receive declarations of interest from Members on items included o | n this agenda. |
| 4 | HEALTHY STAFFORDSHIRE SELECT COMMITTEE MINUTES 18 SEPTEMBER | (Pages 7 - 12) |
| 5 | EVALUATION OF THE SPACE PROGRAMME 2017 | (Pages 13 - 34) |
| 6 | WORK PROGRAMME | (Pages 35 - 38) |

7 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Any member of the public wishing to submit a question must serve two clear days' notice, in writing, of any such question to the Borough Council.

8 URGENT BUSINESS

To consider any business which is urgent within the meaning of Section 100 B(4) of the Local Government Act 1972.

9 Date of next meeting

Members: Councillors Bloor, Dillon, Gardner, L Hailstones, Jones, Loades, Naylon, Northcott, Wilkes and Wright (Chair)

'Members of the Council: If you identify any personal training / development requirements from the items included in this agenda or through issues raised during the meeting, please bring them to the attention of the Committee Clerk at the close of the meeting'

FIELD_TITLE

Agenda Item 2

Classification: NULBC UNCLASSIFIED

Health and Wellbeing Scrutiny Committee - 05/07/17

JOINT MEETING OF HEALTH AND WELLBEING SCRUTINY COMMITTEE AND ACTIVE AND COHESIVE SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Wednesday, 5th July, 2017 Time of Commencement: 6.00 pm

- **Present:-** Councillor Ruth Wright in the Chair
- Councillors Miss J Cooper, Frankish, Gardner, Naylon, Wilkes, G Williams and Winfield
- Officers Jayne Briscoe Scrutiny Officer, Rob Foster - Head of Leisure and Cultural Services and Robin Wiles – Partnerships Locality Officer
- Also in Attendance Will Boyce Chief Executive Officer of Approach Councillor J Williams – Portfolio Holder for Town Centres, Property and Business
- Apologies Councillors Burch, L Hailstones, Heesom, Loades, Northcott and Woolley

1. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest stated.

2. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

Resolved: That the minutes of the Joint Meeting of Health and Wellbeing Scrutiny Committee and Active and Cohesive Scrutiny Committee held on 12 April 2017 be agreed as a correct record.

3. DRAFT MINUTES FROM THE HEALTHY STAFFORDSHIRE SELECT COMMITTEE

Members of the Health and Wellbeing Scrutiny Committee considered the minutes of the Healthy Staffordshire Select Committee held on 21 March 2017.

Whilst members noted that these minutes were presented for information purposes concerns were expressed at the lack of detail given in the answers to the effects of bed closures at Bradwell Hospital and to patient discharge rates at Royal Stoke Hospital. The Chair of the Health and Wellbeing Scrutiny Committee, as a representative on the Select Committee, was pressed to request the Select Committee to consider these issues as a future agenda item.

Agreed That the minutes of the Healthy Staffordshire Select Committee be noted.

Classification: NULBC **UNCLASSIFIED** *Health and Wellbeing Scrutiny Committee - 05/07/17*

4. PRESENTATION - WILL BOYCE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OF APPROACH - DEMENTIA FRIENDLY INITIATIVES

Will Boyce, Chief Executive Office of Approach, and Chair of the local branch of Dementia Action Alliance referred to his previous presentations to the Joint Scrutiny Committees and, building on these, he explained how Approach was keen to support the Borough to contribute in a positive way to the lives of its residents living with dementia.

Will Boyce outlined how the CCG had accepted the offer from Approach to freely deliver Dementia Friends induction training to all newly employed staff on a quarterly basis in relation to both employees and elected members.

Continuing, Will Boyce gave examples of how small changes within a building could make a very positive difference to people living with dementia, for example the placing of a mirror or the use of colours to provide appropriate contrast.

The Partnerships Locality Officer noted that the Borough had signed up to the Dementia Action Alliance and stated that Wolstanton High Street was being modelled into a Dementia Friendly Community. In this respect members asked if a visit could be facilitated to the care home at May Place to look at the facilities provided for residents living there with dementia.

Members of the Joint Committees contributed to discussions with examples of how their own area had introduced dementia friendly activities. Following on from this it was considered that a register of initiatives to map provision within the Borough would be a useful and informative resource tool.

Agreed: That the Partnerships Locality Officer and the CEO of Approach, Mr Will Boyce compile a data base of dementia friendly facilities and activities within the Borough.

Agreed: That the offer from the Chief Executive Officer of Approach to deliver, on a no cost basis, Dementia Friends sessions as part of the Corporate induction for new staff and elected members be progressed.

Members of the Active and Cohesive Scrutiny Committee left the meeting at this point.

5. WORK PROGRAMME

Members of the Health and Wellbeing Committee considered their future work programme.

Agreed: 19 October meeting – To consider an evaluation of the 2017 Space Programme including information on how many young carers accessed the scheme.

10 January - To receive the interim report on the progress of the data base of dementia friendly activities including the availability of this information to LAPs and to Parish Council

10 January – To examine progress of the dementia friendly training within the Borough Council workforce.

11 April 2018 – To further consider an evaluation of how well young carers accessed the 2017 Space Programme.

To examine Autism awareness initiatives within the Borough.

6. **PUBLIC QUESTION TIME**

There were no members of the public present at the meeting.

7. URGENT BUSINESS

There was no urgent business.

8. DATE OF NEXT MEETING - 19 OCTOBER 2017

The next meeting will be held on Thursday 19 October 2017.

COUNCILLOR RUTH WRIGHT Chair

Meeting concluded at 7.15 pm

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 4

Minutes of the Healthy Staffordshire Select Committee Meeting held on 18 September 2017

| Attendance | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Charlotte Atkins Mike Davies Janet Eagland Ann Edgeller Phil Hewitt Barbara Hughes Alan Johnson Dave Jones | Alastair Little Paul Northcott Kath Perry Bernard Peters Carolyn Trowbridge Ross Ward (Vice-Chairman) Ruth Wright | | | |

Present: Johnny McMahon (Chairman)

Apologies: Jessica Cooper, Andrew James, Janet Johnson and David Leytham

PART ONE

23. Declarations of Interest

Councillor Ms Ruth Wright declared an interest in the matters on the Agenda arising from her previous employment by South Staffordshire and Shropshire Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust.

The Chairman declared an interest in the matters included on the Agenda arising from his previous role as Clinical Lead for the "Transforming End of Life Care in Staffordshire Project".

24. Minutes of meeting held on 7 August 2017

With regard to minute No. 16, the Chairman clarified the distinction between NHS Trusts' Cost Improvement Programmes (CIP) and Capped Expenditure Programmes (CEP).

RESOLVED – That the minutes of the meeting held on 7 August 2017 be confirmed and signed by the Chairman.

25. South Staffordshire and Shropshire Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust and Staffordshire and Stoke-on-Trent Partnership NHS Trust Enhanced Partnership Arrangements

The Committee considered a report and received a presentation (slides attached at Appendix A to the signed minutes) by the Chief Executive of South Staffordshire and Shropshire NHS Foundation Trust (SSSFT) and Interim Chief Executive of Staffordshire and Stoke-on-Trent Partnership Trust (SSOTP) regarding their "Better Together" initiative which set out proposals for a merger of the two organisations by acquisition.

The meeting was also attended by (i) Mr. Steve Grange, Director of Commercial Development, SSSFT; (ii) Mr. Abid Khan, Clinical Director SSSFT and; (iii) Mrs. Jo Cowcher, Director of Social Care, SSOTP.

During his presentation, the Chief Executive highlighted (i) the pressures on the NHS in terms of demand, expectations and workforce; (ii) the local health and care system; (iii) the values and goals of SSOTP and the services they currently provided; (iv) the work of SSSFT and; (v) the benefits of integration as seen by SSSFT in terms of quality, workforce, infrastructure and finances. He explained that whilst the health economy in Staffordshire was in major financial deficit, the primary goal of the proposed merger was to improve patient care. However, 'Better Together' foresaw synergies between the two Trusts and opportunities to achieve significant economies of scale.

Although the expectation was that mergers by acquisition between NHS Trusts would be completed within 90 days, this timeframe was unrealistic in this case owing to the need to achieve full cultural alignment. It was therefore hoped the merger would be completed by 1 April 2018 i.e. after due process.

With regard to the work of SSOTP going forward, the Chief Executive said that their aim was to support and strengthen primary care (i.e. healthcare provided in the community), signpost service users away from secondary/acute providers and reduce admissions to hospital through the 'shift left' agenda, towards prevention. Furthermore, it was intended to reduce patients' contact with a myriad of different healthcare professionals by integrating systems and providing care navigators who would build working relationships, help solve problems and support patients whilst accessing health care services. SSOTP's Director of Social Care spoke of the Discharge to Assess (D2A) initiative currently being rolled out across the County by the Trust which would also help improve patient care.

During the discussion which ensued, a Member asked how the Trusts aimed to 'repatriate' residents currently receiving care outside the County, back into Staffordshire, stressing the importance of this issue to the Committee. Whilst the Director of Social Care acknowledged Members' concerns, she explained that the number of patients in this category was relatively small when compared to the total. The Member went on to emphasise the importance of avoiding a 'postcode lottery' in social care whereby residents in some areas of the County received a lesser service than those in a different location. The Chief Executive agreed and said that he was fully aware of the challenges faced by social care Trusts in reducing admissions. The Director of Social Care outlined how the merger would improve dementia care in the County.

A Member referred to the various challenges previously set out by 'Together We're Better', the Staffordshire and Stoke-on-Trent Sustainability and Transformation Partnership. They queried (i) what progress had been made since the Staffordshire and Stoke-on-Trent Sustainability and Transformation Plan (STP) had been published; (ii) what evidence had been identified to support of the Trusts' proposed changes; (iii) how the proposed new model of care would address staff recruitment and retention issues and; (iv) sought clarification of the timescale for implementation of the locality offers referred to in the report.

In reply, the Chief Executive referred to the recently published Partnership Progress Dashboards which indicated that Staffordshire and Stoke-on-Trent had been rated as 'Needs Most Improvement'. With regard to service delivery, a new model of care was required and the national evidence suggested that greater collaboration and integration between Trusts improved performance by eg enabling a greater focus on the needs of the patient rather than their fit into an individual service. The combined Trust would also offer greater opportunities for career progression and the expectation was that the locality offers would be in place in 12-24 months' time.

However, the Member challenged the Chief Executive to provide specific evidence in support of the case for merger of the two Trusts.

A Member sought clarification of Trusts' early intervention services for people with Autism and the enhancements to be expected in this area from the merger. In reply the Chief Executive and Clinical Director outlined the services currently available in the north and south of the County. Whilst those in north Staffordshire required improvement, the provision in South Staffordshire was better following the establishment of a new Section 136 Place of Safety Suite at St Georges Hospital, Stafford. Whilst the majority of people with Autism did not require in-patient care, NHS England's Transforming Care initiative aimed at improving quality and reducing inappropriate hospital admission. Continuing, the Chief Executive confirmed that the Trust were looking to increase funding for specialist services and the economies of scale envisaged by the merger would help in this respect.

Members sought assurances over the long term financial viability of the Trusts following the merger having regard to recent issues concerning the operation of SSOTP. In reply, the Chief Executive referred to anticipated savings from consolidating back office functions. Continuing, he explained that whilst the financial projections for 2018/19 were encouraging, those for the following year were less so. However, SSSFT were well placed to ensure that the transformational changes necessary in order to achieve a sustainable financial position were carried through. In addition, the financial standing of SSSFT was such that the likely pressures of merging with SSOTP could be contained within existing budgets.

Discussion then ensued on the need for a place based system of care in the County and removal of barriers which currently existed between health organisations/partners. A Member commented that there was little evidence so far of the locality teams referred to in 'Together Were Better". In reply, the Chief Executive acknowledged the challenges currently faced by the Staffordshire Health Economy. However, he referred to the work of Staffordshire Community Hospitals in helping to reduce pressure on acute hospital beds during times of high demand and went on to explain that the Sustainability and Transformation Partnership were seeking to improve staff/student training in order to promote an ethos of joint working and co-operation between organisations.

In response to a comment from the Chairman regarding the shortcomings of the STP system arising from competition between areas, the Chief Executive spoke of the enhanced career opportunities which would be provided by the merger of the two Trusts. Whilst Staffordshire was already an attractive place to work, the opportunities for career progression by clinicians were currently greater in Birmingham. However, the new organisation would help to address this by improving both financial and operational performance.

In response to another question from the Chairman regarding the operation of the Section 75 Agreement under the NHS Act 2016 currently in place between the County Council and SSOTP for the provision of integrated health and social care services, the Chief Executive confirmed that the Partnership working between the two organisations had improved since the new Agreement was signed in April 2017. However, he was confident the proposed merger would further assist in this respect through sharing SSSFT's experience in achieving transformational change.

A Member expressed concern regarding the long delays currently being experienced by people awaiting mental health assessments. They went onto say that this situation was unacceptable and asked how the proposed merger would help to improve waiting times throughout Staffordshire. The Chief Executive explained that the activity referred to was not in south Staffordshire. In many cases Staffordshire was grouped together with other areas which was misleading. He indicated that SSSFT now had average waiting time for routine assessments of 48 hours. He also referred to reductions in waiting times for appointments with phycologists which had helped in improving access to other mental health services. The Medical Director added that the Trust had strengthened their early intervention services and confirmed that suicide trends were at the national level in Staffordshire.

The Chairman then thanked the representatives of SSSFT and SSOTP for their attendance at the meeting and providing Members with an interesting and informative presentation. He then requested that representatives of the Trusts attend their meeting in March 2018 to report on progress with regard to the 'Better Together' proposals and specifically on (i) achieving cultural alignment between the two organisations; (ii) the implementation of the Discharge to Access initiative and (iii) the 'shift left' prevention Agenda.

RESOLVED - (a) That the report be received and noted.

(b) That representatives of South Staffordshire and Shropshire NHS Foundation Trusts and Staffordshire and Stoke-on-Trent Partnership Trust attend the meeting in March 2018 to report further on the various matters as set out above.

26. District and Borough Health Scrutiny Activity

The Committee considered a report by the Scrutiny and Support Manager giving a summary of the health scrutiny activity which had been undertaken by Staffordshire District and Borough Councils under the standing joint working arrangements, since their previous meeting.

27. Healthy Staffordshire Select Committee Work Programme 2017/18

The Committee considered their updated rolling work programme for 2017/18.

In the discussion which ensued it was agreed that (i) 'Community Hospital Services' and (ii) 'D2A - Discharge to Access' would be considered at their meeting on 6 November 2017, as listed in the Programme. However, with regard to 'All Age Disability Strategy' it was agreed that this topic be put back to a later meeting if required. In addition, owing to the volume of other items on the Programme it was agreed that a further meeting of the Committee be arranged for a date in October 2017.

In response to concerns expressed by a Member regarding the timing of the End of Life/Cancer Care Programmes, it was agreed that this topic be included on their Agenda at an early stage.

RESOLVED – (a) That the Work Programme be noted.

(b) That the Committee's Work Programme be amended as set out above.

28. Exclusion of the Public

RESOLVED - That the public be excluded from the meeting for the following item of business which involved the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in the paragraphs of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 (as amended) indicated below.

PART TWO

29. Exempt minutes of Meeting held on 7 August 2017

(exemption paragraph 3)

The exempt minutes were confirmed.

30. Homecare Contingency Planning

(exemption paragraph 3)

The select Committee received an exempt report of the Deputy Leader and Cabinet Member for Health, Care and Wellbeing regarding Homecare Contingency Planning and noted the progress which had been made.

Chairman

Agenda Item 5

APPENDIX SPACE 5 - SERVICE PROVIDER ACTIVITY EVALUATION





Space Service Provider / Service Commissioner Evaluation 2017

As you may be aware, this is the second year that Staffordshire's Police and Crime Commissioner, Matthew Ellis has provided Space funding for positive activities for young people across Staffordshire.

To help us evaluate Space 2016 and consider what difference it has made to local youngsters and the wider communities, what has been learned and what further work can be considered, the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner would appreciate your time in completing this simple evaluation.

Please note that the Commissioner may choose to use this report to showcase funded projects on his website or through a variety of communication channels.

Part 1 - For completion by Service Providers

On completion, please forward your Evaluation to your local Community Safety Space Programme Lead (contact details provided herein)

Part 2 - For completion by Service Commissioners (Local Authorities)



PART 1 – COMPLETION BY SERVICE PROVIDERS ONLY

| YOUR DETAILS | |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Your Organisation / Group Name | Newcastle-under Lyme Borough Council – Sport & Active Lifestyles |
| Title of Project | Space J2 Membership |
| Contact Number | 01782 717717 |
| Email | Lucy.taylor@newcastle-staffs.gov.uk |
| Operating Address | Newcastle-under-Lyme Borough Council, Civic Offices, Merrial Street, Newcastle, Staffs ST5 2AG |
| Funding Allocated £ | 702 universal places and 115 targeted places for referral from LST/ASB lead Total = 817 places = \pounds 9,900 (\pounds 9,900 from OPCC plus \pounds 1,000 for additonal spaces via the JOG). |

| YOUR PROJECT | |
|--|---|
| Type of Activity (ies) | The offer was based on each young person having a special membership package. This includes the use of the gym once they had a gym induction. This could be used daily throughout the duration of the project. The membership also included swimming. This could be used during public & open swim sessions and fun sessions. Climbing wall sessions were also available, however these had to be booked on in advance. In total there were 34 climbing sessions available. Classes had to be prebooked as only a maximum of 6 people can attend due to the size of the facility. |
| Location of Activity (ies) | Jubilee2, Brunswick Street, Newcastle, Staffs ST5 1HG |
| Timing of Activity (ies) | Activities were accessible in accordance with programmes at Jubiulle2. Further details of the swimming pool programmes and group exercise programmes etc. can be seen at <u>www.jubilee2.com</u> |
| Frequency of Activity(ies) | Activities were available seven days a week inclusive of bank holidays throughout the six week holiday period. |
| Age of Young People (if different from 11-17yrs) | The programme delivered in Newcastle under Lyme targeting 11-17 year olds. |

| ATTENDANCE | | | | | |
|---|---|---|-----|--|--|
| Was there a requirement to book a place for your activity? | Climbing wall sessions at Jubilee2 were required to be booked in advance. | How many places were available per session? | Six | | |
| If YES, were all your places booked in advance? | Yes. | | | | |
| If NO, how many young people attended (approx.) | N/A | | | | |

| Additional | 817 young people registered on the universal/targeted SPACE programme |
|---------------|---|
| Information / | in Newcastle under Lyme, broken down as follows: |
| Comments | Universal – 702 |
| | Targeted 115 |
| | Overall Gender: Male 397 Female 420 |
| | Universal Places Age Breakdown; |
| | 11) 92, |
| | 12) 176, |
| | 13) 158, |
| | 14) 124, |
| | 15) 71, |
| | 16) 61, |
| | 17) 20 |
| | ,== |
| | Targeted Places Age Breakdown; |
| | 11) 11, |
| | 12) 25, |
| | 13) 21, |
| | 14) 22, |
| | 15) 19, |
| | 16) 12, |
| | 17) 5 |
| | 11,0 |
| | Total Attendances: Pool – 2865 |
| | Gym Sessions – 2379 |
| | Climbing - 204 |
| | |

| FEEDBACK – PLEASE DETAIL | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Feedback from young people | Evaluation forms were completed and sent over separately to OPCC. The majority of young people reported that they were satisfied with the programme and would like to see more activities next time in an expanded programme for future years. | | | | |
| Feedback from parents | There was some disappointment at selling out of memberships before the start of the programme. As last year many parents left it too late to purchase memberships despite social media campaign by Council warning that memberships selling out quickly. Overall they reported that they were happy with the programme. | | | | |
| VOLUNTEERING | | | | | |
| How many volunteers have supported your activities? | None | | | | |
| Did you use any OPCC identified volunteers? | None referred. | | | | |
| Would additional volunteers help your project reach a wider audience of young people or to host more activities? | We are always happy to include volunteers into any of our programmes subject to appropriate vetting procedures and training taking place prior to the programme starting. | | | | |

| COMMUNICATION | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Aside from OPCC promotion of SPACE, did you undertake any other marketing / communication activity in support of SPACE. If YES, please detail | 2017. We created a flyer advertising our offer which we used as an electronic pace, did you ndertake any ther marketing / ommunication ctivity in support f SPACE. If YES, 2017. We created a flyer advertising our offer which we used as an electronic pace, and posters. The Partnerships Team at NBC sent an electronic copy of the leaflet to all the schools (primary and secondary) within the Borough. The schools then forwarded this onto their students and their families. Newcastle College also sent this round all their students and staff. The team also sent it to all the partner organisations connected to the Joint Operations Group so they could | | | | |
| What do you think worked best in attracting young people to your activity (ies) /event? | ensure the young people join many different forms of adve Starting the promotion early our membership target prior | ove. Parents seem to play a key role in helping to up to the scheme, so it is essential that as rtising can be used to help attract them. of the scheme meant we could ensure that we hit to the start of the school holidays. | | | |
| Please provide any comments / suggestions for the development of the SPACE website | offers in each district/borougl However having all the inforr so people do not have to sea | nation stored in one place can also be a benefit rch around. ne SPACE website was not beneficial for us due | | | |
| ADDITIONAL INFORMATION | | | | | |
| Please provide any additional information | For SPACE 2018 – please c (March/April) to allow more tin | an service level agreements be finalised earlier ne to promote the scheme. | | | |
| Service Provider Det | ails: | | | | |
| Name: Lucy T | aylor | Signed: | | | |
| Organisation: Leisure Services - NBC Date: 27/09/17 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| YOUR DETAILS | |
|---------------------|--|
| Your Organisation / | Families First – Local Support Team – Newcastle District |
| Group Name | |
| Title of Project | Newcastle-under-Lyme LST SPACE scheme targeted provision |
| Contact Number | 01782 296290 |
| Email | Amanda.rowley@staffordshire.gov.uk |
| Operating Address | Civic Offices |
| | Merrial Street |
| | Newcastle-under-Lyme |
| | Staffs |
| | ST5 2AG |
| | |
| Funding | £2,000 |
| Allocated £ | |

| YOUR PROJECT | |
|--|--|
| Type of Activities | Range of subsidised summer holiday activities for young people aged 11 to 17 in the borough in hotspot localities to be run by the Detached Youth Workers from the LST in community facilities. The activities will include arts and crafts, photography, multi media skills, cake decorating, clay modelling, sign language, climbing, kayaking, t-shirt graffiti, contemporary dance, boxing, orienteering, kickboxing and yoga. Anticipated outcomes include; Reduced levels of fear of crime and ASB Reduced incidents of ASB and crime Increased public confidence Improved health and wellbeing More sustainable communities |
| Location of Activity (ies) | Madeley, Chesterton and Audley |
| Timing of Activity (ies) | Evenings |
| Frequency of Activity(ies) | Weekly |
| Age of Young People (if different from 11-17yrs) | N/A |

| ATTENDANCE | | | | | | |
|---|-----|---|----|--|--|--|
| Was there a requirement to book a place for your activity? | No | How many places were available per session? | 20 | | | |
| If YES, were all your places booked in advance? | N/A | | | | | |

| If NO how mony | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|------|------|---------|------|------|-----------|-------|
| If NO, how many young people | Activity | Week | Week | Week 3 | Week | Week | Week 6 | Total |
| attended (approx.) | | 1 | 2 | | 4 | 5 | | |
| | Madeley | | | 10 | 7 | | 7 | 24 |
| | – Team | | | | | | | |
| | Building | | | | | | | |
| | / Sport | | | | | | | |
| | 7 50010 | | | | | | | |
| | Cave | | 5 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 24 |
| | Ches | | | | | | | |
| | Boxing | 2 | 2 | | | | 2 | 6 |
| | Audley | | | | | | | |
| | Audley – | | | 16 | 18 | 12 | 12 | 58 |
| | Team | | | | | | | |
| | Building | | | | | | | |
| | / Sport | | | | | | | |
| | 4FITT | 2 | | 6 | 11 | 1 | 5 | 25 |
| | Ches – | | | NO | 1 | 9 | CANCELLED | 10 |
| | Team | | | SESSION | | | | |
| | Building | | | PLANNED | | | | |
| | / Sport | | | | | | | |
| Additional Information / Comments | Some sessions did not go ahead or were cancelled when no young people attended or could be engaged. | | | | | | | |

| FEEDBACK – PLEASE DETAIL | | |
|--------------------------|---|--|
| Feedback from | 33 young people in total attended detached activity (not the facilitated events | |
| young people | – boxing, boxcave etc) and they made the following comments: | |
| | <i>"</i> | |
| | "Learned lots and enjoyed coming." | |
| | "Enjoyed." | |
| | "Loved it." | |
| | "Stay longer because we normally get done by the police " | |
| | "I love it." | |
| | "I liked everything." "It's fun." | |
| | "Fun, effective." | |
| | "It keeps us out of trouble with the police." | |
| | "I liked the match and penalties." | |
| | "Enjoyed it." | |
| | "It's satisfying." | |
| | "I like the activities." | |
| | | |
| | Feedback from 4FITT (trainer facilitated); | |
| | 17 young people attended 4FITT, they said they felt: Happy, Cheerful, Proud, | |
| | Trusting, Fired, Confident, Safe, Included, Trusted, Nervous, Brilliant, | |
| | Relieved, Exhausted, and Great. | |
| | They also made the following comments: | |
| | "It was tiring but fun." | |
| | "I enjoyed it." | |

| "It was hard." |
|---|
| "Enjoyed it." "It was fun but makes you sweat a lot." |
| |
| Boxcave, (trainer facilitated) 10 young people attended the Boxcave they said that they felt: Proud, Confident, Great, Enthusiastic, Relived, Happy, Cheerful, Safe, Brilliant, Included, Trusting, Peaceful, Good, Fun, Effective. |
| They also made the following comments: "Fun and I would do it again". "Fun and tiring". "I would do it again". "It made me more resilient." "It was a good session." |
| Case studies |
| FB Currently open to SSU on a CIN plan, this young person was met whilst out on detached. During the activity he was helpful and engaged with workers in the park, but to some degree regarded us with an air of mistrust. As the sessions progressed he became more involved over the sessions and started attending the 4FITT circuit training which was put on at Audley Community Centre. Made a comment whilst we were in the park: "We stay longer because we normally get done by the police, but if you were here we wouldn't." |
| RM Young person was referred to LST half way through the SPACE project. Issues within the home, and with parent. She had fallen out with all her friends in the local area and had had her phone confiscated and so wasn't socialising. She fully engaged in activities and was polite and helpful with staff, helping to clear up at the BBQ. She worked hard at a number of the team building activities and in a short space of time she was socialising with other young people. She recognised more about her own state of being and took away some of the yoga breathing techniques to help with anger and stress and practised them whilst at home. |
| CB Currently open to LST, this YP has been previously excluded from school for violence towards staff. There are also issues within the home. YP came to boxing sessions with friend and whilst quiet, he became more relaxed and smiled more over the weeks. He was very concentrated in his engagement and worker commented that it's the first time she had seen him smile and show a real interest in something other than gaming. The activity provided the young person with opportunity for him to be himself and release some of his pent up tensions; it also allowed him and his parent the space from a tense and sometime fraught home life. |
| BW Currently open to LST, this family has been open to LST and SSU for a number of years. YP has been witness to domestic violence and abuse and has anger issues. YP doesn't engage in much other activity and so a surprise when there was interest in the Boxcave, this appeared to give him a focus throughout the summer holidays and a way to channel some of his aggression. He was transported by the key worker, these sessions seemed |

| The fail Staff co Some of whilst of The net time of Off the Young the gai enjoy - Promo Co-ord Age - early in difficult Consis relation Clash of provide about H Venues can be Centre Facilita underta suppor people lifestyle people Some of | of the facilitated activities might be best delivered in green space |
|--|--|
| Use of the Boi need toFeedback from parentsParent degree that it r | of the facilitated activities might be best delivered in green space green space – as and when, we eventually got over this hurdle with ough but still with a need to complete paperwork. Sometimes we just grab an opportunity who engaged with detached were keen to see activities and to some supported young people in attending – message coming through is eeds to be offered more frequently and throughout the year - to young people and maintain their confidence. |

| VOLUNTEERING | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| How many | 0 |
| volunteers have supported your | |
| activities? | |
| Did you use any | No |
| OPCC identified | |
| volunteers? | |
| | |
| Would additional | Yes |
| volunteers help | |
| your project reach | |
| a wider audience of | |
| young people or to host more | |
| activities? | |
| COMMUNICATION | |
| Aside from OPCC | Yes – targeted community settings and through partnerships links. |
| promotion of | |
| SPACE, did you | |
| undertake any | |
| other marketing / | |
| communication | |
| activity in support | |
| of SPACE. If YES, | |
| please detail | |
| What do you think | Word of mouth and being visible in the communities. |
| worked best in | |
| attracting young | |
| people to your | |
| activities? | |
| Dia ana mandala anu | |
| Please provide any comments / | It wasn't that helpful to us for the purposes of delivery of this project. It's not somewhere our young people would go to find activities. |
| suggestions for the | somewhere our young people would go to find activities. |
| development of the | |
| SPACE website | |
| ADDITIONAL INFORM | MATION |
| Diseas provide env | Future thisking |
| Please provide any additional | Future thinking; |
| information | The areas the activities were held in needed more variety – staff felt they |
| | were often offered in areas which excluded some of the young people who |
| | were not wanting to cross the "borders" to other areas. The staff further felt |
| | that this was good decision making on some part of the young people as it |
| | prevented any potential conflict that might occur. |
| | More notice needs to be taken of the areas that the LST staff and detached |
| | highlight as areas of concern (the areas were pre chosen, despite other |
| | suggestions being offered up). |
| Comico Drovidor D-4 | |
| Service Provider Det | |
| Names America D | |
| Name: Amanda Row | ley Signed: |
| Organisation: Familie | es First Date: 18/09/17 |
| | Page 21 |

| YOUR DETAILS | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Your Organisation / Group Name | Newcastle-under Lyme Borough Council – Sport & Active Lifestyles |
| Title of Project | Street Dance sessions |
| Contact Number | 01782 717717 |
| Email | Lucy.taylor@newcastle-staffs.gov.uk |
| Operating Address | Newcastle-under-Lyme Borough Council, Civic Offices, Merrial Street, Newcastle, Staffs ST5 2AG |
| Funding Allocated £ | £900 |

| YOUR PROJECT | |
|--|--|
| Type of Activity (ies) | Weekly Street Dance sessions for young people aged 11-17 years delivered by local dance academy. |
| Location of Activity (ies) | Outreach locations across the Borough; Newcastle town centre, Chesterton and Kidsgrove. |
| Timing of Activity (ies) | Early evening. |
| Frequency of Activity(ies) | One session per week (for 6 weeks) in each of the three locations. |
| Age of Young People (if different from 11-17yrs) | N/A |

| ATTENDANCE | | | |
|---|-----|---|----|
| Was there a requirement to book a place for your activity? | Yes | How many places were available per session? | 20 |

| If YES, were all | No | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|----------|---|
| your places booked | | | | |
| in advance? | | | | |
| If NO, how many young people | Please see table below | | | |
| attended (approx.) | | | | |
| Additional | Dance | Chesterton Vision | 26.07.17 | 0 |
| Information / | Dance | Chesterton Vision | 02.08.17 | 0 |
| Comments | | | | |
| | Dance | Chesterton Vision | 09.08.17 | 0 |
| | Dance | Chesterton Vision | 16.08.17 | 0 |
| | Dance | Chesterton Vision | 23.08.17 | 0 |
| | Dance | Chesterton Vision | 30.08.17 | 0 |
| | Dance | J2 | 27.08.17 | 4 |
| | Dance | J2 | 03.08.17 | 8 |
| | Dance | J2 | 10.08.17 | 8 |
| | Dance | J2 | 17.08.17 | 6 |
| | Dance | J2 | 24.08.17 | 7 |
| | Dance | J2 | 31.08.17 | 4 |
| | Dance | Kings School Kidsgrove | 27.08.17 | 2 |
| | Dance | Kings School | 27.00.17 | 2 |
| | Dance | Kidsgrove | 03.08.17 | 3 |
| | Durice | Kings School | | |
| | Dance | Kidsgrove | 10.08.17 | 0 |
| | | Kings School | | |
| | Dance | Kidsgrove | 17.08.17 | 0 |
| | | Kings School | | |
| | Dance | Kidsgrove | 24.08.17 | 0 |
| | | Kings School | | |
| | Dance | Kidsgrove | 31.08.17 | 0 |

| FEEDBACK – PLEASE DETAIL | | |
|--|---|--|
| Feedback from young people | The young people who attended really enjoyed it – we sent over the evaluation forms separately already. | |
| | Some have joined the Dance instructors sessions at Jubilee2 after trying the ones during the holidays. | |
| Feedback from parents | None received. | |
| VOLUNTEERING | | |
| How many volunteers have supported your activities? | None | |
| Did you use any OPCC identified volunteers? | None referred. | |

| COMMUNICATION | | | |
|---|---|----------------|--|
| Aside from OPCC promotion of SPACE, did you undertake any other marketing / communication activity in support of SPACE. If YES, please detail | We started our promotion of the scheme from week commencing May 22nd 2017. We created a flyer advertising our offer which we used as an electronic version, as well as printing leaflets and posters. The Partnerships Team at NBC sent an electronic copy of the leaflet to all the schools (primary and secondary) within the Borough. The schools then forwarded this onto their students and their families. Newcastle College also sent this round all their students and staff. The team also sent it to all the partner organisations connected to the Joint Operations Group so they could forward it on through their contacts. It was also sent round the Locality Action Partnerships where partner organisations work in partnership on the issues that matter in their local communities. We distributed flyers to our customer contact centres (Guildhall in Newcastle, Kidsgrove & Madeley), community centres, Jubilee2, libraries, Aspire offices (Local housing provider), Chesterton One Stop Shop etc. Our communications team promoted it through local media sources, and social media (Facebook & Twitter). It featured on the Borough Council's website (www.newcastle-staffs.gov.uk), as well as Jubilee2's website (www.jubilee2.com) | | |
| What do you think worked best in attracting young people to your activity (ies) /event? | A mixture of the all of the above. Parents seem to play a key role in helping to ensure the young people join in with the scheme, so it is essential that as many different forms of advertising can be used to help attract them. Starting the promotion early of the scheme meant we could ensure that we hit our membership target prior to the start of the school holidays. | | |
| Please provide any comments / suggestions for the development of the SPACE website | The web booking facility on the SPACE website was not beneficial for us due to the systems we have already within our service. | | |
| ADDITIONAL INFORM | ADDITIONAL INFORMATION | | |
| Please provide any additional information | Perhaps look at running a more regula help develop and expand the opportunit | | |
| Service Provider Details: | | | |
| Name: Lucy T | aylor | Signed: | |
| Organisation: Newca | stle under Lyme Borough Council | Date: 27/09/17 | |

| YOUR DETAILS | | |
|--------------------------|--|--|
| Your Organisation / | Stoke City Football Club on behalf of Staffordshire County Council | |
| Group Name | | |
| Title of Project | Multi Sports, Tennis and Cricket coaching sessions | |
| Contact Number | 07800 626440 | |
| Email | Craig.chorlton@staffordshire.gov.uk | |
| Operating Address | Civic Offices | |
| | Merrial Street | |
| | Newcastle-under-Lyme | |
| | Staffs | |
| | ST5 2AG | |
| Funding | £2,400 | |
| Allocated £ | | |

| YOUR PROJECT | |
|--|---|
| Type of Activity (ies) | Weekly tennis sessions in two outreach locations across the Borough; Wolstanton and Westlands. |
| | Weekly cricket training sessions at Clayton Cricket Club. |
| | Weekly multi sports outreach sessions in three outreach locations across the Borough; Wolstanton, Chesterton and Kidsgrove. |
| Location of Activity (ies) | Various hotspot locations including Wolstanton, Chesterton, Clayton, Kidsgrove and the town centre. |
| Timing of Activity (ies) | Weekday early evenings. |
| Frequency of Activity(ies) | Tennis – weekly 2 hr session delivered in Wolstanton and Westlands. Cricket – weekly 3hr session delivered in Clayton. Multi Sports – weekly 2hrs session delivered in Wolstanton, Chesterton and Kidsgrove. |
| Age of Young People (if different from 11-17yrs) | N/A |

| ATTENDANCE | ATTENDANCE | | |
|---|------------|---|----|
| Was there a requirement to book a place for your activity? | Yes | How many places were available per session? | 20 |
| If YES, were all your places booked in advance? | No | | |

| If NO, how many young people | Activity Session | Location | Date | Booked on |
|---------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|----------|-----------|
| attended (approx.) | Multi Sports | Kings School Kidsgrove | 24.07.17 | 1 |
| | Multi Sports | Kings School Kidsgrove | 31.07.17 | 1 |
| | Multi Sports | Kings School Kidsgrove | 07.08.17 | 1 |
| | Multi Sports | Kings School Kidsgrove | 14.08.17 | 1 |
| | Multi Sports | Kings School Kidsgrove | 21.08.17 | 1 |
| | Cricket | Clayton | 25.07.17 | 1 |
| | Cricket | Clayton | 01.08.17 | 1 |
| | Cricket | Clayton | 08.08.17 | 6 |
| | Cricket | Clayton | 15.08.17 | 1 |
| | Cricket | Clayton | 22.08.17 | 1 |
| | Cricket | Clayton | 29.08.17 | 1 |
| | Multi Sports | Chesterton Vision | 28.07.17 | 1 |
| | Multi Sports | Chesterton Vision | 04.08.17 | 1 |
| | Multi Sports | Chesterton Vision | 11.08.17 | 1 |
| | Multi Sports | Chesterton Vision | 18.08.17 | 1 |
| | Multi Sports | Chesterton Vision | 25.08.17 | 2 |
| | Multi Sports | Chesterton Vision | 01.09.17 | 1 |
| | Tennis | Westlands | 28.07.17 | 6 |
| | Tennis | Westlands | 04.08.17 | 6 |
| | Tennis | Westlands | 11.08.17 | 8 |
| | Tennis | Westlands | 18.08.17 | 8 |
| | Tennis | Westlands | 25.08.17 | 2 |
| | Tennis | Westlands | 01.09.17 | 2 |
| | Tennis | Wolstanton | 28.07.17 | 2 |
| | Tennis | Wolstanton | 04.08.17 | 0 |
| | Tennis | Wolstanton | 11.08.17 | 0 |

| | Tennis | Wolstanton | 18.08.17 | 0 |
|---|--------|------------|----------|---|
| | Tennis | Wolstanton | 25.08.17 | 0 |
| | Tennis | Wolstanton | 01.09.17 | 0 |
| Additional Information / Comments | | | | |

| FEEDBACK – PLEAS | E DETAIL |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Feedback from | Some of the activities were too structured, wanted the opportunity to just |
| young people | socialise rather than be coached. |
| | Additionally some children wanted structured competition, mini leagues, |
| | tournaments etc. |
| | Wanted to participate where they socialise, ie marsh rather than at a particular venue. |
| | |
| | Some asked for more specific coaching to improve rather than just participation. |
| | Both parents and children said they would potentially more future engagement if it ran throughout the year rather than just in the holidays. |
| Feedback from parents | Feedback from parents was that it would have been more suitable if younger siblings could have attended. |
| | The potential age range was too wide and that activities could have been age appropriate. Or even activities for the same school age. |
| | Some children did not want to attend with older children which deterred them from attending certain activities. |
| | Wanted more activities in the day time, as they were already attending clubs etc. in the evening. |
| | Both parents and children said they would potentially more future |
| VOLUNTEERING | engagement if it ran throughout the year rather than just in the holidays. |
| How many | No |
| volunteers have | |
| supported your activities? | |
| Did you use any | No |
| OPCC identified volunteers? | |
| | |
| Would additional volunteers help | No |
| your project reach | |
| a wider audience of | |
| young people or to host more | |
| activities? | |

| COMMUNICATION | | |
|---|--|--|
| Aside from OPCC promotion of SPACE, did you undertake any other marketing / communication activity in support of SPACE. If YES, please detail | connected to the Joint Operations Gro their contacts. It was also sent round the partner organisations work in partners local communities. We distributed flyer (Guildhall in Newcastle, Kidsgrove & M libraries, Aspire offices (Local housing | he schools then forwarded this onto astle College also sent this round all o sent it to all the partner organisations up so they could forward it on through he Locality Action Partnerships where hip on the issues that matter in their rs to our customer contact centres Madeley), community centres, Jubilee2, provider), Chesterton One Stop Shop ted it through local media sources, and eatured on the Borough Council's |
| What do you think worked best in attracting young people to your activity (ies) /event? | A mixture of the all of the above. Parents seem to play a key role in helping to ensure the young people join in with the scheme, so it is essential that as many different forms of advertising can be used to help attract them. | |
| Please provide any comments / suggestions for the development of the SPACE website | The web booking facility on the SPACE website was not beneficial for us due to the systems we have already have locally. | |
| ADDITIONAL INFORM | MATION | |
| Please provide any additional information | The community outreach hasn't worked as we'd have hoped due to a lack of interest from young people and so the Partnership wouldn't look to provide this again next year. | |
| Service Provider Deta | ails: | |
| Name: Craig C | horiton | Signed: |
| Organisation: Staffordshire County Council Date: 27/09/17 | | |

PART 2 – COMPLETION BY SERVICE COMMISSIONERS ONLY

| FUNDING ALLOCATION | | | |
|---|--|-------|---------------------|
| OPCC Funding Allocation | | | £ 15,200 |
| | | | (A) |
| Please list all | Name | T / U | |
| SPACE activities | Newcastle-under-Lyme Borough Council | U/T | £9,900 (additional |
| funded, clearly | Leisure Services – universal provision - 817 | | £1,000 contribution |
| identifying those | places for unlimited access to £10 summer | | from JOG places) |
| which were | holiday leisure passes. | | |
| targeted (T) | Newcastle-under-Lyme LST targeted SPACE | Т | 2,000 |
| provision and | activities. | | |
| those which | Newcastle-under-Lyme Borough Council | U | 900 |
| were universal | Street Dance and room bookings. | | |
| (U) provision | Stoke City Football Club outreach sports and | U | 2,400 |
| | room bookings in community locations. | | |
| Total funding allocated by Service Commissioner to local activities | | | £ 16,200 (B) |

Underspend (if any) (A – B)

Outcomes

For each activity / event outlined above, please detail (A) Outcomes achieved for young people and (B) Challenges identified.

Activity Name: Newcastle-under-Lyme Borough Council - Leisure Services – universal and targeted provision – 817 places for unlimited access to summer holiday leisure passes. **Outcomes Achieved Challenges Identified** Increased participation of young people Additional demand for the passes and • • over the summer holiday period popularity of the scheme Introduction of new activities and Managing referrals for the targeted places • opportunities to young people and again oversubscription although this Young people participating in activities was dealt with using additional funding

- that they would not normally, therefore broadening aspirations, skills and experience
- Contribution to reducing ASB in the Borough
- Promotion of healthy lifestyles
- Increased opportunities for diversionary activities
- from elsewhere to purchase the universal passes and top up

0

- Improvement of accessibility to service from a transport perspective. It is recognised that this may be a barrier in certain individuals accessing the programme, often from the more deprived areas in the Borough
- Consideration required of how to reward • future participation positively? e.g. discount for continued membership etc.

Summary What difference have SPACE activities / events made to those taking part in it? The programme enabled young people to participate in activities that they may not normally, therefore broadening aspirations, skills and experience. What difference has it made to those supporting it? Partners have benefitted from increased diversionary activities to signpost young people to over the

summer holidays, which would have previously been unavailable without the funding for the

programme.

What difference has it made to the local community?

Young people have had access to positive activities and have been occupied, benefiting their health and wellbeing and discouraging them from hotspot locations for ASB.

What are your future plans activities / events for young people in your local community? As a partnership we continue to facilitate opportunities for diversionary activities but this is dependent on available funding and resources in kind from partners.

Should similar funding be available next year we would look to grow it to create more opportunities for young people in our Borough. We would like to work more closely with the school settings to develop community based approaches, subject to having enough time and resource to plan this effectively. It is acknowledged that the scheme this year has been developed within existing capacity which was manageable and without the need for additional staffing costs, so anything more ambitious would require a dedicated resource to plan, develop and administer.

Outcomes

For each activity / event outlined above, please detail (A) Outcomes achieved for young people and (B) Challenges identified.

| Activity Name: Newcastle-under-Lyme LST SPAC | E scheme activities. | |
|---|--|--|
| Outcomes Achieved | Challenges Identified | |
| Increased participation of young people over the summer holiday period Introduction of new activities and opportunities to young people Young people participating in activities that they would not normally, therefore broadening aspirations, skills and experience Contribution to reducing ASB in the Borough Promotion of healthy lifestyles Increased opportunities for diversionary activities | Age – predominant age of young people was 11-14, early intervention is important as often the older children are often more difficult to engage. Consistent engagement – young people seeing the same people, developing relationships and confidence in staff, sustainability? Clash of activities – some activities clashed with the delivery by other providers, e.g. schools and it was difficult to engage them in discussions about how we could work together Venues – not always needed through spring, summer – however if a group can be engaged it is possible to move them on in to a venue e.g. Madeley Centre Facilitated activities can work with a targeted group if preparatory work is undertaken and support is offered in initially attending. Young people were opening up during activity, there were discussions about lifestyles, smoking, eating, future aspirations about local behaviours of young people. Some of the facilitated activities might be best delivered in green space Use of green space – as and when, we eventually got over this hurdle with the Borough but still with a need to complete paperwork. Sometimes we just need to grab an opportunity | |

Summary

What difference have SPACE activities / events made to those taking part in it?

It has created opportunities and experiences that otherwise wouldn't have happened. It provided an opportunity for the detached youth team to build relationships with additional young people that the team hadn't already engaged with a view to growing networks and relationships.

What difference has it made to those supporting it?

A real insight into what young people want! Targeted activities aren't popular, the casual outreach approach is preferred by young people.

What difference has it made to the local community?

Young people have actually engaged and have been occupied, benefiting their health and wellbeing and discouraging them from hotspot locations for ASB.

What are your future plans activities / events for young people in your local community?

The LST are keen to build on the overall success of this pilot and hope that further funding be available next year and beyond to refine the initiative and continue delivery.

Outcomes

For each activity / event outlined above, please detail (A) Outcomes achieved for young people and (B) Challenges identified.

Activity Name: Newcastle-under-Lyme Borough Council – Street Dance

| Outcomes Achieved | Challenges Identified |
|---|---|
| Increased participation of young people over the summer holiday period Introduction of new activities and opportunities to young people Young people participating in activities that they would not normally, therefore broadening aspirations, skills and experience Contribution to reducing ASB in the Borough Promotion of healthy lifestyles Increased opportunities for diversionary activities | Additional demand for the mainstream passes and popularity of the scheme, including these classes Managing bookings Improvement of accessibility to service from a transport perspective. It is recognised that this may be a barrier in certain individuals accessing the programme, often from the more deprived areas in the Borough, hence why we delivered these classes in three locations. |

Summary

What difference have SPACE activities / events made to those taking part in it? The programme enabled young people to participate in activities that they would not normally, therefore broadening aspirations, skills and experience.

What difference has it made to those supporting it?

Partners have benefitted from increased diversionary activities to signpost young people to over the summer holidays, which would have previously been unavailable without the funding for the programme.

What difference has it made to the <u>local community</u>?

Young people have had access to positive activities and have been occupied, benefiting their health and wellbeing and discouraging them from hotspot locations for ASB.

What are your future plans activities / events for young people in your local community? As a partnership we continue to facilitate opportunities for diversionary activities but this is dependent on available funding and resources in kind from partners.

As before, should similar funding be available next year we would look to grow it to create more opportunities for young people in our Borough. We would like to work more closely with the school settings to develop community based approaches, subject to having enough time and resource to plan this effectively.

Outcomes

For each activity / event outlined above, please detail (A) Outcomes achieved for young people and (B) Challenges identified.

| Activity Name: Stoke City Football Club outreach sports | | |
|---|--|--|
| Outcomes Achieved | Challenges Identified | |
| Increased participation of young people over the summer holiday period Introduction of new activities and opportunities to young people Young people participating in activities that they would not normally, therefore broadening aspirations, skills and experience Contribution to reducing ASB in the Borough Promotion of healthy lifestyles Increased opportunities for diversionary activities | These sessions haven't been anywhere near as popular as we'd anticipated. We struggled to get community venues at the time of day we needed e.g. early evening. Young People were booking sessions and not turning up to participate. We targeted community venues because last year we'd received feedback that the leisure facilities weren't always accessible but these places weren't utilised. We had more success with the outreach activities delivered via the LST, which we'll look to do more of in the future. | |

Summary

What difference have SPACE activities / events made to those taking part in it?

The programme enabled young people to participate in activities that they would not normally, therefore broadening aspirations, skills and experience. However, the sessions weren't very well attended, despite being advertised alongside the main J2 SPACE offer, which by contrast sold out very quickly.

What difference has it made to those supporting it? Partners have benefitted from increased diversionary activities to signpost young people to over the summer holidays, which would have previously been unavailable without the funding for the programme, with the caveat that attendance has been poor.

What difference has it made to the <u>local community</u>? Young people have had access to positive activities and have been occupied, benefiting their health and wellbeing and discouraging them from hotspot locations for ASB.

What are your future plans activities / events for young people in your local community?

As a partnership we continue to facilitate opportunities for diversionary activities but this is dependent on available funding and resources in kind from partners. The community outreach activities haven't proved popular and so we will try more outreach activity next year. Should similar funding be available next year we would look to grow it to create more opportunities for young people in our Borough. It is acknowledged that the scheme this year has been developed within existing capacity which was manageable and without the need for additional staffing costs, so anything more ambitious would require a dedicated resource to plan, develop and administer.

| Service Commissioner Details: | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|----------|
| Name: | Sarah Moore | Signed: | |
| Organisation | Newcastle-under-Lyme Borough Council | Date: | 27/09/17 |

Please forward the fully completed Evaluation Report to:

'space@staffordshire.pcc.pnn.gov.uk' or to Emma Rowlands, OPCC Space, Block 9, Police Headquarters, Weston Road, Stafford ST18 0YY, Tel 01785 232385



Members: Bloor, Burch, Dillon, Gardner, Hailstones, Jones, Loades, Naylon, Northcott, Wilkes, Wright

HEALTH AND WELLBEING SCRUTINY COMMITTEE



Chair: Councillor Wright Vice Chair: Councillor Burch

Portfolio Holder(s) covering the Committee's remit: Councillor Tony Kearon (Communities and Social Cohesion) Councillor Amelia Rout (Leisure, Culture)

This document sets out the work programme of the Health and Wellbeing Scrutiny Committee 2017/18 The Health and Wellbeing Scrutiny Committee may wish to scrutinize the following topic areas which fall under the remit of the Committee:

- Commissioning of and provision of health care services, whether acute or preventative/early intervention affecting residents of the Borough of Newcastle-under-Lyme
- Staffordshire Health and Wellbeing Board and associated committees, sub committees and operational/commissioning groups
- North Staffordshire Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG)
- Staffordshire County Council Public Health
- University Hospital North Staffordshire (UHNS)
- Combined Healthcare and Stoke and Staffordshire NHS Partnership
- Health organisations within the Borough area such as GP surgeries
- NuLBC Health and Wellbeing Strategy and Staffordshire Health and Wellbeing Board Strategy 'Living Well in Staffordshire 2013-2018'
- Health improvement (including but not exclusively) diet, nutrition, smoking, physical activity, poverty (including poverty and licensing policy)
- Specific health issues for older people
- Alcohol and drug issues

- Page • Formal consultations
 - Local partnerships
 - Matters referred direct from Staffordshire County Council
- Matters referred direct from Stationushine County Council
 Referring matters to Staffordshire County Council for consideration where a problem has been identified within the Borough of Newcastle-under-Lyme

We review the work programme from time to time. Sometimes we change it if something comes up during the year we should investigate as a priority. Councillor Ruth Wright, Chair, Health and Wellbeing Scrutiny Committee.

If you would like to know more about our work programme please get in touch with Jayne Briscoe, Democratic Services Officer on

01782 742250 or jayne.briscoe@newcastle-staffs.gov.uk



HEALTH AND WELLBEING SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

| Date of Meeting | Item | Background/Objectives |
|---|---|---|
| 5 th July 2017 (agenda dispatch 27 th June 2017) | Presentation by Will Boyce, Joint working on Dementia Care and provision of services in the Borough | A Corporate Priority – A healthy and active community |
| Members of Active & Cohesive Scrutiny Committee invited to | Minutes from the Healthy Staffordshire Select Committee | To receive the minutes of the March meeting |
| attend for presentation on Dementia | Work Plan | To discuss the work plan and potential topics that Committee members would like to scrutinise over the forthcoming year |
| 19 th October 2017 (agenda dispatch 11 th October 2017) | Evaluation of the Space Programme Young Carers | |
| | Work Plan | To discuss the work plan and potential topics that Committee members would like to scrutinise over the forthcoming year |
| 10 th January 2018 (agenda dispatch 2 nd January 2018) | Annual Work Plan Review | To evaluate and review the work undertaken during 2016/17 |

Page 38

| Date of Meeting | Item | Background/Objectives | |
|--|------|--|--|
| 11 th April 2018 (agenda dispatch 3 rd April 2018) | | | |
| Suggestions for Potential Future Items: | | e support Autism awareness the effectiveness of the GP referral programme | |